G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1914.
( Dharwar )

**File No.** 86-1904

**Serial Nos.** 1-19

# Papers Filed.

*Month* October 1911

SUBJECT.

Relinquishment of the inam village of G in the Doddaballapur Taluk belonging to the Sri Maradi Mutt.

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
|---|---|
| Dhwr F-87 of 1903. | |

G.R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 86-04

FILE HEADING.

Alienation of inam village of Gollahalli in the Doddaballapur Taluk belonging to the Sri Maraimath.

From

Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

No. 88 Dated 18.2.05 Received 20.2.05

| Diary No. 3100 | Enclos. | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the alienation of Gollahalli village has been recognised at the Inam Settlement as it was made before 14th December 1867

NOTES AND ORDERS.



GPB—30,000- 3-4-07.

(7)

Note continued :—

As directed by the Dewan on page 6 of this note, orders were issued in Govt. Pro. no 2838 40 dy 7th Feb 1905 directing that the possession and enjoyment of Gollahalli inam village by Venkatanadhwaphari's family need not be disturbed, as the stipulated services are being regularly performed at the Brindavana. As the gifting away of the village is objectionable, the Inam Superintt was requested to state the circumstances under which the alienation was recognized by the Inam Deptt. In reply, that Officer states that the alienation was recognized at the Settlement as it was made before 14th December 1807 (vide para 3 of Inam Precis no 2), a copy of which is put up.

In docket no 87 dy 18.2.05

It is respectfully pointed out that the village has been confirmed to the matt & not held by Venkatanadhwaphari as a "Devadaya Service grant."

Submitted 24/25th/05

Para 2 of the Govt. order requires to above directed Inam information be furnished as to why a sum of Rs 50 was being paid to the Registrar Narayanna Rao, but no reply has yet been received from the Dy Comr, Bangalore

D

(8)

3

District.

Sent with a reminder

to Land Officer

26/4/05

Issue the draft

26/4/05

To.

Sir: The Dy Commissioner,

Bangalore Dt.

No. 4030 dt 29.4.1905

Invites attention to Para 2 of Govt.

No. cp. 2838-41 dt 17.2.1905 re payment

dt. 10-95

of a sum of Rs 50/- to the Registrar

of Jarayan Ro of Jamlu in Come of

Gollahalli Village and requests to

be informed as to what action

has been taken in the matter.

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

3 From

Gurunaya Chetty

Petn. dt. 30.4.1905.

Prays that the village of Gollahalli is again under alienation and will be sold within a month from the date of his Petn.

To.

4 The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt.

No. 3502 D/23.3.1905.

Forwards for early action

Gurunaya Chetty. Cr. 3598 D/30.3.05.

Intimates the above.

5 From

The R. Secy. to H. H. the Maharaja.

Cr. 2353 D/30.3.1905.

Forwards for disposal Petn. from Gurunaya Chetty to the same effect.

6 To. The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt.

Cr. 3936 D/25.4.1905.

Forwards in continuation for early report.

Gurunaya Chetty Cr. 3936 D/25.4.05

Intimates the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

(5

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

| Serial No. | | |
|---|---|---|
| 7 | | Thimmarayappa of Kallahalli.<br>Petn. d/ 23.3.1905.<br>Prays for the restoration of certain trees in the village of Kallahalli which have been mutilated & felled by Narayana Rao & Co. |
| 8 | To | The Dy. Comm^r., Bangalore Dt.<br>No. 2042 d/ 1.11.05.<br>Forwards the above.<br>Thimmaya Chetty.<br>No. 2043 d/ 1.11.05.<br>Intimate the above. |
| 9 | Same | The Dy Comm^r., Bangalore Dt.<br>Letter No. 768/ 8.10.1906<br>Forwards the record of enquiry as to why a sum of Rs 5/- is being paid to the Sub-Registrar. |
| 10 | To. | The Same.<br>No. 2551 d/ 14.11.06.<br>Requests to be informed of the action taken on this office Communication d/ 23.3.1905. referred to above. |

Note- Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8-10

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 86 of 1904.

(6

Serial No.

1 Form
Gurumaya Char of Sankebidanur.
Petn. Regd. No. 745 d/ 29.1.1909.
Prays that orders may kindly be caused to be issued so as to execute the decree obtained by the Registrar Narayana Rao, until his previous Petn. about the Quarry village of Gollahalli are disposed of.

The D.C.'s letter No. 76 d/ 8th Oct. 1906 explains the facts of the case.

4/5/1909 What the man apparently wants is that Gollahalli and that Devadurga land are not included in each of these. In this connection the Registrar should be furnished with a copy of the order passed in letter No. 781 dt 22.1.94 (60 Fort-93) forbidding instructions to the Civil Courts so that they might not proceed against Devadurga Lands. I do not find any such instructions in the Civil Court register about Gollahalli.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

3/41

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 86 of 1904 (7) (3)

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 12 | To, The Registrar, chief Court.<br>Letter no. 1624 Dt 7-5-09<br>Invites reference to this office letter no 781 dated 22nd January 1894 and enquires what instructions have been issued to the Subordinate Courts re the alienation Rules of 1844. |
| 13 | From. The Registrar,<br>Letter no 6402/333 Dt 12-5-09.<br>States that a reply will be sent after the return of the chief Judge. |
| | Submitted. The promised reply may be awaited. 12/5/09<br>Put up 2nd summer in August if no reply is rec'd. 12/5/09<br>Put them up again myself<br>12/5/09 |
| 14 | To the Registrar, Chief Court.<br>No 347 dated 3rd August 1909.<br>Invites attention to this office and office no 1827 of the 7th May 1909, and requests an early reply. |
| | From the same |
| 15 | No 614/33 dated 4th August 1909.<br>States that copies of Govt Pro: Nos of the 16th Jan: 1894 and Chief Commrs Notifn of the 17th Nov: 1877 were sent Dwle Civil Courts for information and that a Circular has now been issued drawing their attention |

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd File No. 86 of 1904

Serial No.

and adds that, as the law at present in force does not, in so many words, prohibit the attachment of inam lands it might be well to remedy the defect by introducing an amendment into section 266 of the Civil Proc.

Subd The Letter suggestion is under proposal in the Legislative Branch.

Submitted for orders
6/10/09

Obtain copies of the letters referred to
Present
8/10/09

Issue as
8/10/09

6/10/09

16 To The Registrar, Chief Court.

No 604 dated 3rd Septr 09.

Calls for copies of the circular letters referred to by him

17 From the same

No 1099/57 dated 6th Septr 09.

Furnishes the copies as called for.

Under Secretary's Note

Subd
8/10/09

The Village of Jolhalli in the Sirsi Taluk of the North Arcot District is an Inam enjoyed by the Swamis of Sankaracharya Mutt at Sankeshwar in Belgaum District as a gift from one Venkatesa Madhavrao for the performance of services at the Brindavanam of another Swami at Sankeshwar in the Hubli Taluk of the Dharwar District. This alienation was confirmed at the Inam Settlement and the Village was "confirmed free of rent and to be held by the said

Page 7.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mag File No. 56 of 190 4

9

Serial No.

Venkatarama Shastri class as a Devadaya Service
grant."

The Assistant Commissioner of Forests in
1903 and after enquiry first passed an order in
Feb. 1905 to the effect that no interference as
to the grant is called for. The Inamdar subsequently
has represented to the Revenue Authorities
to declare that the alienation was unexpired
and the Deputy Commissioner has ordered the
resumption of the Village whereupon
a sum of Rs. 50 has been fixed every year to the
Registrar Narayana Rao.

As to the Inamdar in his Petition (Serial No 1)
says that the alienation is unexpired as
it was given in 1st Dec. 1867.

The Deputy Commissioner in his letter (Serial No. 9) states
the circumstances under which Rs 50 is being
paid to the Registrar Narayana Rao. A
perusal thereof will show that the Village records
contain of some devadaya and Brahmadaya
entries, that the Brahmadaya entries
were mortgaged to the Registrar Narayana
Rao who has obtained a decree also, and
that Venkatarama showed by without
the Inamdar of Kings at the Inam Settlement
that the entire Village settled as Devadaya.

Narayana Rao applying for the sale of the V

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPL—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

in execution of this decree Gurunaya Chetty son of Venkatasamudra Chetty has been obtaining petitioning for the effect that Civil Courts proceed against Devadaya Inam lands. His petitions not having been disposed of until he has thought fit to pay the decreed amount and proceed further.

On the merits Gurunaya Chetty does not appear to have a case; and even if we say that the Village is not attached, the decree holder can appear and proceed against other property of the judgment-debtor.

The general question of the sale of Devadaya lands has however to be considered.

It is a principle of common law that Devadaya Inams cannot be alienated.

In the Bombay Presidency there is alienability is absolute (Vide Ganpati Iyer's book on religious endowments pp XVII, Ind. L. R. 5 Bom 393 and Bombay Act II of 1863 sec 8).

In Mysore also such alienations are invalid. (Vide alienation rules of 1877). In Govt order No 1890-8 Cr 52 of 4th July 1887 it has been laid down that a sale by a Civil Court also of such land is invalid. So in the new Inam Revenue Manual the following words which are not in the old original alienation rules have been inserted after Inam sale:— (whether by private

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5 08

Serial No.

Contract or by Court or Revenue Code)-

In the year 1893 when some Villages ~~were~~
Devadaya & our Villages of the Devadaya
Math were attached by the Bombay High
Court Bailiffs, first application and the
Petitioner seeks and applied the Chief Court
to issue Contempt injunction to Inferior Courts
The Chief Court seem to have forwarded the
petition & Rule to the Inferior Courts for
information -

This has produced no effect - Devadaya
Villages are still attached as formerly
by Court's Civil Process

The Registrar having often been written to
he says "that as the Law at present stands
in the many cases prohibit the attachment of
Inam lands It might be well to remedy the
defect by introducing an amendment
into Section 266 of the Code of Civil Procedure

It is necessary that Civil Courts should
not proceed against Devadaya Inams.

As the Civil Procedure Code is to be amended
and the Bill is in the hands of Government
Counsel I submit that the amendment suggested
by the Registrar may be made.

For purposes of reference I am put up
Petition file which shows that a Devadaya

Vide mug. P 60 of 1893)

Slip C

* mug P 52 - 1905. (Rets. to records)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Q. Mis 5/1

File No. of 190

(12

Serial No.

1994

Is there [illegible] a Court has been appointed?

Before [illegible] the right should also refer to the case. Mr. Grenier Alexander was granted by [illegible] his debts and their distribution. Now being Voluntary yet to be continued as long as their restrictions are [illegible] Proposed It is my humble opinion that they cannot also be attached by Civil Courts. A provision to this effect also may be inserted.

As regards the merits of the case the communications of this Department and the Court may be perused and Petr. informed that ~~petr. cannot grant him~~ his petition is rejected.

166

Submitted to Executive Council

Attorney-General

8/9/1909

The Leg. Br. will put up ~~amended~~ draft of additions to the Civil Pr. Code bill for consideration.

3/10/9 Leg. Br.

Leg. Br.

5/10/1909

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5 08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 86 of 1904-05

(12)

Serial
No.

Send with a Copy of the Bill

XE 8/10/09 SW 8-10-09

~~These matters will be asked~~
May these matters be noted
for Consideration in Select Committee?
(Modifications can be made now).

9/10/09 SH

2nd Ry. all right. But draft amendments may be kept ready.
10/10/09 SH

Sent with a draft amendment 11/10/09.
No orders have been passed on the remarks of the Under Secretary, Mining Branch, in the last para of his last note. SW
8/11/09 8/11/09

Please send this to some absentee Member when I will be more free
20/11/09 SH

There is to be no meeting of the Leg. Council for some time. Let this come up after Xmas
11/12/09 SH

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

10/3.1.10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No. 2127

The necessary amendment has been made in the Code of Civil Pro. Bill. The file may be returned to the Hungri Secretariat for further necessary action. A requisition for the file has been received from the above Secretariat.

22/9/11

Yes. Return the file and send a copy of the C.P.C. when finally passed for reference

Sd

2/5/11

Necessary provision has been made under Civil Procedure Code against disposal of the immovable property (Issue No. 1) Dr Cumarathunga (Issue No. 2) and Mr Peter of Jaffna. As noted at page 12 the first two may be excluded.

Mr Peter may be informed that under Civil Procedure Code the attachment of Devagam Lands is prohibited.

Drafts submitted.
Legal Secretary
Attorney-General
15.9.1911

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5 08

Serial No.

In Narayana Rau's case, does the fact of the whole village being declared devadaya (though erroneously) by the Inam department, not make it inalienable &c?

I am not sure if the intention of the new provn introduced to the C.P.C. will set off petr Guruvayya Char.

However the drafts may issue. If they are insufficient, I suppose the parties interested will petition again.

18/9/11

Issue the drafts

19.9.194

18 To

The Dy. Comr. Bangalore Dt.
No. 841-2 Inam Supdt
M.T. 86-04 D 17.10.1911

Records the letter of the Dy. Comr (no. 76 D 8.10.1906) —

19 Endt. to Guruvayachar
No 843 D 17.10.1911



GPB—50,000—13.5.08

G. R. S. 12
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 56 of 1904

(16)

Serial
No.

The entire file is herewith submitted
for perusal
Secretary

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Re

Inuz A 56-04-1

1904-905 (1)

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govern-ment of Mysore.
Inuzraji Department

Dated 13th
Despatched 20th February 1905
Received

General No. 88
No.

Enclosure No.

Government Proceedings No. 2838-40 of the 7th February 1905.

With reference to para 3 of the above has the honor to state that the alienation of Gollah village has been recognized at the Inam Settlement as it was made before 14th December 1867 (vide para 3 of Precis No. 2).

Supt of Inams

MUZRAI

(PA) I. C. 6-04-5-2 (2)

19 — 19

From The Secretary, Inam Department

To The Dy Commissioner

Dated Bangalore 26th

Despatched 29. 4. 05

Received

General No 1050

Muzrai F. No. 108-95

Enclosure

Para 2 of Govt P.O No 2838-40 dt 7th Feb: 1905 Inam 10 95

Calling for some information as to why a sum Rs 50 is being paid to Sub Registrar Narayana Rao every year from the income of the Inam village of Gollahalli granted to the Sri Uttaradi Mutt in the Bangalore District

Has the honor to direct

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

attention to the above and to request that the information there in called for may be submitted at an early date

R/O

26/4/05

Secretary

lrs
26/4/05

MUZRAI

19 —19

Very urgent

From The Secretary,
Rev Dept"

To The Dy Commr"
Bangalore Dt "

Dated 8th

Despatched March 1905

Received

General No 3502 & 3598
Muzrai F. No. 03-95 Dy 30.3.05.

Enclosure 1

Rev 7-86-04-4

In continuation of
Govt" Order no. 2838-40.
Muz. 7. 10-95
Dy 7th February 1905, passing
Orders in the matter of
the alienation of the Inam
village of Sollahalli in
the Doddaballapur
belonging to the Sri Nilaka
Mutt, has the honor
forward herewith, for ea
action, petition, from
no. 3394, Dy 10-3
Gurumaya char, Inam

the
aforesaid village
That the ~~Gobbalalli~~
village, is again under
attachment, under the
decree of the ~~Doddaballa~~
Munsiff's court at Doddaballa-
pur and that it will
put up to sale
within a month from the
date of his petition —
The action taken by him shd be reported
By order,
Draft
N. 23/2/05
Secretary.

Note to the Petn
22/2/05

2.

۲۹-۱۱-۱۹

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Mug 86-04

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Mysore, 30th March 1905

No. 2353

Rec, 1/4/05

3661

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal

No. 1686 of 04-05.

By Order,

I. C. S.
*Private Secretary*
*to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.*

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secretary,

To Muzrai Department

The Dy Commr

Bangalore Dist

Dated 25 April 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 3935 to 3986.

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure One

In Centn of this office no. 3502 dy. 10th instant 1905 has the honor to forward herewith another petition regd no. 1686/3661 dy 1-4-05, addressed to H. H. The Maharaja by Guruvayachar residing at Santebidanur, Hindu taluk, praying that Inam village of Sollaha in the Doddaballapur being devadaya an

MGCPB—

to The Sri Vittaiadi Mutt

The order of the Munsiffs
Court at Doddaballapur,
to place the said Village
under attachment and ~~finally~~ eventually.
to put it up to auction in
Satisfaction of a certain decree
amount, may be ~~ordered~~
~~to be~~ Stayed.

Request that the
Report already called for
on the allegations Contained
in the petition may be
Submitted at an early date.

By order.
(Sd) 21/4/05
Secretary.

19/4/05
Sent to the Peshkar

Muz. 3] Muz F 86-04-8

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2, 109

The accompanying Petition No. 655

dated 31st August 1905 received at this Office from Gururayachar of Santebidanur in the Hindupur Taluk, praying for the restoration of certain Vrittis, in the Inam Village of Gollahalli - endowed to Sri Sateja Narayana Swami Brindavan

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the

at the Place, which have been unauthorizedly alienated by Narayana Rao & others, is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in continuation of the marginally noted Communications, with a request that the report called for in the above may be submitted without further delay.

By Order,

Dft. 30/10/05

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
1 Oct 11 1905

No. 2043.

Encl. to Petn. Rs

18/10/05

(1) No. 3935-40 dt/ 25.4.05.

(2) No. 3502 dt/ 23.3.05.

(3) Govt. Pro. No. 2838-40 dt/ 7.2.05.

Mus-E-56-04-8

1906.7.

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Mus. Deptt.

Dated 8

Despatched 12 October 1906.

Received

General No.

M.S. No. 76

Enclosure No. 1 file

Govt. Pro. 2838-40 of
Mus. 10.95
7.2.05.

—

Forwards full records
& enquiry as to why a
sum of Rs. 50/- is being
paid to the Registrar
Narayana Rao out of the
Proceeds of Golkadi
endowed to Srivattaradi
Mutt.

Mus-E-56-04-8

12-10-06

Put up.

H.E.

12/10/06

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 8th October 1906.

No. 76
E. File.

From, H. H. Sparkes Esquire.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To, L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir, With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 2838-40 Muz: 10-95 dated 7th February 1905, requesting to be informed why a sum of Rs 50/- is being paid to Sub Registrar Narayana Rao out of the proceeds of Gollahalli, a village in Doddaballapur Taluk, belonging to Sri Uttaradi Matt, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire correspondence containing the

the full records of enquiry. The
Dodballapur Taluk Amildar's report
which is contained in the records
gives a full account of the case.
It now comes to light that the
village which had formerly been
the property of the ancestors of one
Appu Rao, was gifted away to the
Sree Swamijalavaru somewhere
previous to 1819, and in the year 1819,
three vrittis out of the village were
resifted to the family by the Matt
as a mark of appreciation of the
family's devotion to the Matt. A
copy of the latter Sannad is to be
found in the file.

2. These three vrittis were inherited
by the three branches of the family
one Gopalachar came into possession
of one of the vrittis. He borrowed
a sum of Rs 300/ from the father

of

of Narayana Rao, mortgaging his
2. Vritti as security for the loan.
As the debt was not duly paid
back, Sub Registrar Narayana Rao
took steps for the recovery of the
same by filing a suit in the Civil
Court. The case was compromised
between the parties and the Court
decreed that Narayana Rao be put
in possession of the Vritti, till the debt
was paid back. A copy of the Court (Ex X
decree is filed in the records.

3. The village consisting of 11 Vrittis
was entirely managed by one Venkata-
-madhavachar who is entrusted with
the duty of performing the necessary
Puja to the Brindaban of one of the
Head Priests at Sante bimmen in
Hindupur Taluk. The vrittis are not
separately defined. Consequently, Ven-
-katamadhavachar was paying
annually

annually a sum of Rs 25/. to the holders of the Vrittis that did not belong to the Matt. As the dues to Narayana Rao were not paid regularly, he filed suit against the manager for the proceeds of two years & for a sum of Rs 50/. Narayana Rao has further stated that to secure the punctual payment every year, he has now leased his Vritti to one Nanjappa at a rent of Rs 30/. per annum. A copy of the lease bond is in the file.

4. The statements of Narayana Rao, Appu Rao and Venkatamadhavachar are all enclosed in the records. Venkatamadhavachar totally denies all knowledge of the rights of others in the village. But in the face of the statements of the other two gentlemen, strongly corrobo-

by the Civil Court decision in the matter and by the very action of Venkatramadhavachar in paying them for a number of years 25 Rs each, Venkatramadhavachar's statement cannot be accepted as truth.

5. The situation appears to be thus:- The village is not alienated by the Government to the Matt, but a gift of one of the disciples made previous to 1819 - perhaps an alienee from Government. The Matt resumed 8 vrittis and re-gifted away 3 vrittis to the same family. The Matt's portion of the village was set apart for meeting the expenses connected with the Puja service for the Brindaban at Santebinnur, and was given to the present manager's father for carrying on the business - since that time one vritti continues to be in the possession of the family of the , one has now come over to the

the possession of Narayana Rao, while the third is said to have been mortgaged or so to the manager, Venkatamadhavachar in his individual right. The fact that no reference is made to the existence of these three vrittis at the time of Inam settlement is attributed by the holders of the vrittis to their living in distant parts and not having been aware of the village being registered in the sole name of Venkatamadhavachar. Their continued enjoyment seems to be indisputable. Steps will have therefore to be taken to define distinctly the rights of different parties in the village. There may perhaps be some original records in the matt which may throw clear light in the re

6. The petitions received with your dockets Nos 3502 and 3935 dated 23rd March 1905 and 25th April 1905 respectively are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

W Rumbold

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Mch

Muz I 86-04-X
8(10

Muz I A]

190

From

To The D.C. Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. Muz I 86-04-10

Enclosure No.

---

This office No. 3502 dt 23rd March 1905 calling for early report regarding the sale of the inam village of Gollahalli in the Doddaballapur Taluk and subsequent reminder No. 3935 dt 25th April 1905.

---

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request the favour of an early reply.

R.O.

SPB—8,000—21-7-03

on receipt of

a request from him
on the above mentioned
final orders will
issue on his letter
no 76 dated the 12th
October 1906

10/11/06

ఈ నా పుస్తకాన్ని ప్రేమతో నా
నిజమైన పాఠకులందరికీ అంకితమిస్తున్నాను
మీరు చదివి మీ అభిప్రాయాలు చెప్పండి అని అడిగినప్పుడు
మీ అమూల్యమైన సమయాన్ని నా పుస్తకం కోసం
వెచ్చించి, నా పుస్తకాన్ని చదివి, మీ అభిప్రాయాలు
చెప్పి, నా పుస్తకాన్ని ఆదరించి, ప్రోత్సహించి
నన్ను ఎంతో ప్రోత్సహించిన మీ అందరికీ
ప్రత్యేకంగా ధన్యవాదాలు తెలియజేసుకుంటున్నాను
ఈ పుస్తకాన్ని ఎంతో ప్రేమతో రాసుకున్నాను
ఈ పుస్తకం మీ అందరికీ నచ్చుతుందని
ఆశిస్తున్నాను. ఈ పుస్తకం మీ అందరికీ
నచ్చితే, మీ అభిప్రాయాలు నాకు
తెలియజేయండి. మీ అభిప్రాయాలు
నాకు ఎంతో విలువైనవి. మీ అభిప్రాయాలు
తెలియజేయడానికి మీకు ఎంతో సమయం
పడుతుందని నాకు తెలుసు. అయినా
మీ అభిప్రాయాలు తెలియజేయమని
అడుగుతున్నాను. ఎందుకంటే
మీ అభిప్రాయాలు నాకు
ఎంతో విలువైనవి. మీ అభిప్రాయాలు
నాకు ఎంతో ముఖ్యం. మీ అభిప్రాయాలు
నాకు ఎంతో ప్రోత్సాహాన్నిస్తాయి.
మీ అభిప్రాయాలు నాకు
ఎంతో ఆనందాన్నిస్తాయి.

నకిలీ మనీ ఆర్డర్ల ద్వారా డబ్బు పంపి మోసం చేసిన కేసులో ముద్దాయిలకు శిక్ష

ప్రాధాన్యం:—

1894వ సంవత్సరంలో ఇద్దరు వ్యక్తులు కలిసి నకిలీ మనీ ఆర్డర్లు తయారు చేసి, వాటి ద్వారా డబ్బు పంపి మోసం చేసిన కేసులో ముద్దాయిలకు శిక్ష విధించబడింది. ఈ కేసులో ముద్దాయిలు నకిలీ మనీ ఆర్డర్లు తయారు చేసి, వాటి ద్వారా డబ్బు పంపి మోసం చేసినట్లు నిరూపించబడింది. ఈ

ఆ సమయములో గ్రామములో ఎల్లమ్మ దేవత కొలువు తీరానని, నన్ను ప్రతిష్ఠించమని
కలలో కనిపించి చెప్పినందు వలన ఆమెకు గుడి కట్టించి ప్రతిష్ఠించినట్లు
ఆధారాలు లభ్యమవుతున్నాయి.

శాసనాధారాలు:-

1898-99 సనకు ఇనాము అసైన్‌మెంటు నం॥476 గా బట్టి /

1899-1900 సనకు ఇనాము అసైన్‌మెంటు నం॥568 బట్టి / ఇట్టి పాడి
ఎల్లమ్మ దేవస్థానమునకు పట్టా కట్టబడినది.

1902-03 సనకు ఇనాము అసైన్‌మెంటు నం॥713 గా బట్టి కొంత మాన్యపు
పన్ను రద్దు చేసినట్లు ఆధారములు లభ్యమవుతున్నవి.

1908-09 సనకు ఇనాము అసైన్‌మెంటు నం॥461 జుత్తి నం॥392
ఈనాం చేసి మూసివేయ ఇలాకా అజీజుద్దీన్ సాహెబ్ గారు
సంతకము చేసియున్నది.

1893 సనకు ఇనాము అసైన్‌మెంటు నం॥10, ఈనాం బట్టి పాడి ఎల్లమ్మ
గుడి పక్కన ఉన్న దానం చేసినట్లు ఆధారములు లభ్యమవుతున్నవి.

అదనపు వివరములు:

ఈ పరిసరములో కాకతీయుల కాలంనాటి దేవాలయము ఉండినట్లు
ఇటీవల పడుకున్న పాత 700 రాతి స్తంభములు. 29-1-09

గురుమూర్తి

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಪ್ರೊ|| ಕೋಡ್ 01 ಪ
63 ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳು
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರು 5. (ಸಿವಿಲ್.)

ಸ್ಮಾಲ್ ಕಾಸಸ್ ಕೋರ್ಟ್

ಸ್ಮಾ ಕೋ ನೇ ಇನಸಂ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ನಂಬರ್.

ಪಾಟಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಳಿ ನಾಗಮಂಡಲ

ಯವರು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಿಇದಾರೆ. ಆಕಾರಣ ಸದರೀಪಾಟಿಗೆ ಉದಹರಣೆ ಕೊಡುವಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ, ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯರಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಮುಖ್ಯಸವಾಲ್‌ಗಳಿಗೂ ಜವಾಬ್ ಕೊಡುವಪ್ಪ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿಪಡಕೊಂಡ ಮತ್ತು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಜೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್‌ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ, ಅವರು ಅಂಧಾಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಜವಾಬ್‌ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕಕ್ಕಿಬುಳ್ಳಂಧಾ ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬರ ಸಮೇತವಾಗಲೀ ಸ್ಮಾ ಕೋ ನೇ ಇನಸಂ ತಿಂಗಳ ೨೨ನೇ ಕೆಲ್ಲೂ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನದಲ್ಲಿ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮ್ಮತಿ ಕೊಡೋಣಾಗಿದೆ. ಮತ್ತು ನೀವು ಹಾಜರಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಿವಸವು ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದ ಆಬ್ಜೆರ್ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಆದಿವಸ ಹಾಜರ್‌ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನೀವು ತಯ್ಯಾರಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗೈರಹಾಜರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಮಾಡೋಣಾಧೀತೆಂತ ನೀವು ಗ್ರಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದುಮತ್ತು ಪ ವಿ ನೋಡ ೀಕೆಂದು ಆಸೇಕ್ಷಿಸುವಂಥಾ ದಸ್ತೈವಜನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಉದಪಂತಿಗೆ ಬಲವಾಗಿ ಆದರಿಸುವಂಥಾ ಯಾವ ದಸ್ತೈವಜುಗಳನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ನೀವು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಂಗಡ ತರ-ಕ್ಕು. ಯಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ಲೀಡರಾದ.

ಯವರ ಸಲಗಡೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಸ್ಮಾ ಕೋ ನೇ ಇನಸಂ ತಿಂಗಳ ೨೨ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಮೊಹರಿನಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ದಸ್ತಖತ್ತೊಡನೆ ಕೊಡೋಣಾಗಿದೆ.

ನೀವು ಯಾ

ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಯಾಜ್ಞ

ಇಂದ್ ಸ್ಮಾಕೋ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು

ನೋಟಿಸ್—I

೨

೨

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಕೋಡ್ ೧೦ನೇ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಣ್ಣ ಸ್ವಭಾವದ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಮರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸರ್ವಿಸುವಾಗ ನೆಕ್ಕಾಲನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಸುವಾಗ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹೊರಬಾಗಿಲಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯುವ ಮನವಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಂದ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಸದರೀ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪುಟ್ಟಿನ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಬರದವರಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ಅವರ ಗೊತ್ತಾದ ತಲಬರಾಸ

ಪ್ರ ನೋಂದಾವಣೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕ ನಂ. ೪, ಸಿವಿಲ್.

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ರಾಜ 461/08-09

ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ. ಸಿಐ 397/08-09

ಸಕ ನಂ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ್

ವಾದಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಹೂಡಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ದಾವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ನೀವು ಸದರಿ ದಾವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು – ಯೆಂದು ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ಸದರೀ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್‌ನಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ತೋರಿಸಲಾನ್ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಕ ನಂ9 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ತಾರೀಖು 27 ನೇ ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ ರದ ದಿನ ಬರವಿ // ಥಂ ಟಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ನೀವು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿಯೇ ಗಾಲಿ, ಕೋರ್ಟು ಲಾಯರು ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಯಾಗಲಿ, ಹಾಜರಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂದು ಇದರಿಂದ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಇಗಜ್ಜೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಐಜ್ಞ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪಕ್ಷವಾಗಿ ಹಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ನಾಡಿ ತೀರ್ಪು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸಕ ನಂ 9 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು 9 ನೇ

ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಮೊದರು ನನ್ನ ದಸ್ತಖತನ್ನಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

ಜಡ್ಜ್.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—8-11-12—2,502.

File No.

Serial No

Date of Note to be replied to

No. 1827 dt 7.5.09

Vide Corresp dt 86-04-12

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

To

The Registrar of
the chief court of Mysore

Sir,

Date of issue

Signed by

On inviting reference to Mysore Secretary's ~~this office~~ Letter no. 481/ dt 22nd Janry. 1894 MMS 7.60-93, forwarding copy of Govt order of the 16th idem January 1894 re-publishing for general information, the Notification of the late chief Commr, dated the 14th November 1894, embodying the rules laid down on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inams granted to religious and charitable institutions, I am directed to state that cases are coming up before

*over.*

SUBJECT.

Govt: wherein civil courts

~~take cognizance of suits relating~~ for the recovery of dues

to Devadaya Inams and to

request that you will kindly

as

good ~~enough~~ to intimate for

the information of Govt:

~~as to~~ whether any and, if

so, what, subsidiary instructions

on the subject have been

issued to the subordinate

Courts as requested in ~~this office~~ my comm[n]: of the 22nd June 1899

referred to above. In favour of an early reply I remain.

I have etc.

S.

for Secretary.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

No 6402
333

Bangalore. 12 th May 1909.

From

The Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Gen. and Rev. Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1827 dated the 7 th instant, in re issue of subsidiary instructions to the Subordinate Civil Courts, as per Government Proceedings of the 16 th January 1894 in the matter of Inam lands assigned to religious and Charitable institutions, I am directed to state that an answer will be sent after the return of the Chief Judge.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Registrar.

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To The Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 3d August 1909

Received

General No. 347
No. 86-04-14

Enclosure No.

ME 86-04-14

His No. 6402 dated the
12th May 1909, stating
that an answer in the
matter of issuing subsidiary
instructions to the subordinate
civil courts, as per Govt.
Pro. of the 16th June 1894,
regarding unauthorised
alienations of inams
granted to religious and
charitable institutions,
will be sent after the
return of the Chief
Judge.

MGCPB—20,000—16-2-05

Has the honour to ~~draw~~ invite
attention to the above and
to request the favour of a
~~early~~ reply ~~thereto~~ as ~~to what action has~~
~~been taken in the~~
~~matter~~

Yours obedt
Servt
[illegible]
for Secretary
3/5/1879

1909/10.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 4th August 09

Despatched 5th do

Received

General No. 614

No. 33

Enclosure No.

In reply states that Copies of Govt. Notfn. of the 16th June & of the Chief Court Notfn of the 17th inst. were sent to all Civil Courts for information & that a Circular has been issued directing them to act in accordance with the said Notifications, ~~in force~~ Rules.

Refer

GA 07

GPE—1,000—9-7-09

614
ALC. 33

Bangalore dated, 4th August 1909.

From,

W.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esqr.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

W.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq.,

Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.1527 dated the 7th May '09 I am directed to state that on receipt of the Huzrai Secretary's letter dated 22nd January 1904 referred to, copies of the Government Proceedings of the 16th idem and of the Chief Commissioner's Notification dated 17th November 1877 were sent to all Civil Courts for information. A circular letter has now been issued drawing their attention to the said Notification.

I am to add that as the law at present in force does not in so many words prohibit the attachment of Inam lands, it might be well to remedy the defect by introducing an amendment into section 266 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

W Rajaratnam Mud

REGISTRAR.

R.V.

CC

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 86-04

Serial No

*Diary No. of letter replied to* –

No. 684

16

6-04-16.

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To

The Registrar,

Chief Court.

Sir,

Date of issue 2nd Sep 09

Signed by [illegible]

With refce to your letter No. 614/33 dated 4th August 1909, I am directed to request to be furnished with a copy of your covering letter ~~the communication~~ in which the Govt Order of the 16th Jany. 1894 and the Chief Commrs Notifn of the 17th Novr 1877 relative to the unauthorized alienations of inams granted to religious and charitable institns, were forwarded to the Civil Courts and also with a copy of the Circular letter stated to have been issued recently to those Courts drawing their attention to the said Notifn.

The favor of ~~an~~ early

*over.*

SUBJECT.

compliance
~~Reply~~ is signed [illegible]

[illegible]

*List of enclosures,*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

1 & 2 Sep

1909-10 C.C.—Ct.—5

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 6th September 09.

Despatched 6th do

Received

General No. 1099

No. 57.

Enclosure No. 2.

---

In reply forwa
a copy of the Chief
Cir: docket No. 57.
of 17th May 1894 to th
address of the Distt.
of Bangalore, Mysa
& Shimoga on the sub
of unauthorized al
nations of land
granted to religio
& Charitable Institu
tions together
a printed Copy o
Civil Cir Letter No.
of 23rd July 1909.

---

GIP—1,000—8-7-09

Bangalore,

6th September 1909.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar, Esq.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

To,

V.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Genl: & Rev: Departments,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no.684/M.T.86-04-15 dated the 30th Instant, I am directed to forward herewith copy of this Court's Circular docket no.5113-15/90 dated the 17th May 1894 to the address of the District Judges of Bangalore, Mysore and Shimoga on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inams granted to religious and charitable institutions, together with a printed copy of Civil Circular Letter no.215 dated the 23rd July 1909 recently issued in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

REGISTRAR.

A.S.

(General Serial No 783)

1893/94

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To The District Judges,
Kaudidoorg, Mysore &
Kayar

Dated 17th May 1894

Despatched

Received

Genl No 5113-15-90

Enclosures—Number.

Has the honor to forward for information and for distribution to the Civil Courts in his Division copies of the papers noted on the margin on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inams granted

Letter No 781 Aug F.60-39 Dated 12th January 1894 - From Secretary, Govt - Revenue Dept

Govt Order No: 741-50 Revenue 16th January 1894 Aug F.60-93

granted to religious and charitable
institutions.

By order
(Sgd) S. P. S.

Registrar

Copy

Registrar.

G.R.S. 28 ]

File No.

Serial No.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

*the* 19

Date of issue 17-10-911

Signed by

ORDER THEREON.—No.

READ—Govt. No. 2838-40 d/7 2 1905 directing that the possession & enjoyment of Gollahalli inam Village in the Doddaballapur Tk by Venkatarmabhwa Chari family need not be disturbed as the stipulated services are being regularly performed at the Brindavan of Sri Satya Narayana Swamigalu at Sandeedanur in the Hindupur Tk, & asking the Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt., to report why a sum of Rs 50/- was paid to the Registrar Narayana Rao &

P. T. over.

SUBJECT.

the income of the Village and the Supt. of Inam Settlements to report the circumstances under which the alienation of the Village was recognised by the Inam Dept.

2 Letter dt. 88 d/18.2.1905 from the Inam Supt. in Mysore, stating that the alienation of Gollahalli Village has been recognised at the Inam Settlement as it was made before 14th Dec. 1867.

3. Letter dt. 76 d/8.10.1906 from the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt., reporting the circumstances which led a sum of Rs 50/- to being paid to Sub Registrar Narayana Rao out of the proceeds of the Inam Village of Gollahalli.

order thereon dt.

Recorded.

Dft) 15/9/11

for Offg. Secretary

D.T.O.

18/9/11

( 1 S

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

---

*(Continuation Sheet draft form.)*

To

The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt.,

Rly. Records.

Quam Libet in Duplo.

Encl. to Guruvayo Char

GPB—10,000—22 2 11.